

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

LABORATORY SKIN CARE, INC., and
ZAHRA MANSOURI,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 06-601 (***)

LIMITED BRANDS, INC. and BATH &
BODY WORKS, INC.,

Defendants.

JOINT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiffs Laboratory Skin Care, Inc. and Zahra Mansouri (“LSC”)(collectively, “Plaintiffs” or “LSC”) and Defendants Limited Brands Inc. and Bath and Body Works, Inc. (“Defendants” or “BBW”), by and through their respective counsel, hereby submit this joint stipulation to amend the scheduling order.

Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1, the Parties set forth the following reasons for this stipulation:

1. This is a patent infringement lawsuit. Complex issues of patent infringement and validity have been raised by the parties.
2. The parties have been conducting discovery in an effort to move the case toward trial and have exchanged written documents requests and interrogatories. Responses to written document requests and interrogatories have been served. The parties have agreed to the terms of

a protective order and have exchanged non-confidential documents. The parties anticipate the imminent exchange of non-confidential documents.

3. The parties continue to work diligently but agree that they need additional time to complete discovery. No party will be prejudiced by the requested Amendment.

4. A copy of the proposed Amended Scheduling Order is attached hereto.

5. Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1, counsel for both Parties certifies that they have sent a copy of this request to their respective clients.

Dated: July 9, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

By: /s/ Melanie K. Sharp
Melanie K. Sharp (No. 2501)
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, DE 19899-0391
msharp@ycst.com
(302) 571-6681

ROTHWELL FIGG ERNST & MANBECK

By: /s/ C. Nichole Gifford
E. Anthony Figg
Sharon L. Davis
C. Nichole Gifford
Daniel M. Shores
1425 K Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 783-6040

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Laboratory Skin Care, Inc and Zahra Mansouri

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

By: /s/ Francis G.X. Pileggi
Francis G.X. Pileggi (No. 2624)
Sheldon K. Rennie (No. 3772)
919 N. Market Street, Suite 1300
P.O. Box 2323
Wilmington, DE 19899-2323
fpileggi@foxrothschild.com
(302) 656-8920

WARD & OLIVO

By: /s/ Michael J. Zinna
John F. Ward
David M. Hill
Michael J. Zinna
382 Springfield Avenue
Summit, NJ 07901
(908) 277-3333

*Attorneys for Defendants
Limited Brands, Inc. and Bath & Body Works, Inc.*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

LABORATORY SKIN CARE, INC., and
ZAHRA MANSOURI,

Plaintiff,

v.

LIMITED BRANDS, INC. and BATH &
BODY WORKS, INC.,

Defendants.

STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

The Court having entered a Scheduling Order on December 5, 2006, **AND NOW**, this ____ day of July, 2007, these matters having come before the Court on Plaintiffs’ Laboratory Skin Care, Inc. and Zahra Mansourri (collectively “Plaintiffs or LSC”) and Defendants Limited Brands Inc. and Bath and Body Works, Inc (collectively “Defendants or BBW”) Joint Motion to Extend the Scheduling Order, and the Court having considered the papers, and finding good cause:

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard.

The parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) on January 15, 2007. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court's Default Standard for Delivery of Electronic Documents, which is

posted at <http://www.ded.uscourts.gov> (see Order, etc., Policies & Procedures, Ad Hoc Committee for Electronic Discovery), and is incorporated herein by reference.

2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before June 28, 2007.

3. Discovery.

a. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Testimony. Each side is limited to a total of 70 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination (excluding experts). Expert depositions shall be limited to a maximum of 7 hours per expert. Extensions may be granted upon the filing of a motion with the Court.

b. Location of Deposition. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court or by agreement of the parties. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.

c. Discovery Cut Off. All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before April 18, 2008. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.

d. Disclosure of Expert Testimony. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, they shall file their initial Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) disclosures of expert testimony on or before January 21, 2008 (approximately ninety days before the date of the completion of discovery), and file a supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party by February 18, 2008 (approximately sixty days before the date for the completion of discovery). To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

e. Discovery Disputes. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6001 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-eight hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed and a summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.

4. Application to the Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, the counsel must first follow the provisions of Paragraph 3e above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering into this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the parties should list any other level of designation, such as "highly confidential," which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.

6. Settlement Conference. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, this matter is referred to the United States Magistrate for the purpose of exploring the possibility of a settlement.

7. Interim Discovery Report. On August 9, 2007, counsel shall submit a letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.

8. Status Conference. On August 16, 2007, the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (6) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at 4:30 p.m. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephone call.

If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they may so notify the Court in writing before the conference is scheduled to occur, and the conference will be taken off of the Court's calendar.

9. Tutorial Describing the Technology and Matters in Issue. The parties shall provide the Court by March 28, 2008, a tutorial on the technology at issue. In that regard, each party may submit a videotape of not more than 30 minutes. The parties may choose to present the tutorial in person at a time determined by the Court. In either event, the tutorial should focus on the technology in issue and should not be used to argue the parties' claims construction contentions. If the parties choose to file videotapes, they should be filed under seal as part of the Court's file, subject to any protective order in effect. Each party may comment, in writing (in no more than 5 pages) on the opposing party's videotape tutorial. Any such comment shall be filed within ten (10) days of submission of the videotapes.

10. Case Dispositive Motions. All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before June 2, 2008. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules.

11. Claim Construction Issue Identification. If the Court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on May 29, 2008, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe

need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will be not filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be submitted pursuant to paragraph 12 below on June 3, 2008. The parties Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A copy of the patent(s) in issue as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon are to be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.

12. Claim Construction. The parties shall submit briefs concerning issues of claim construction to the Court no later than June 3, 2008, to be considered by the Court in conjunction with the parties' summary judgment motions. Responsive briefs shall be due 30 days after opening briefs are due.

13. Hearing on Claim Construction and Summary Judgment. The Hearing on Claim Construction and Summary Judgment previously scheduled for January 11, 2008 is hereby vacated and the Court will hear evidence and argument on claim construction and summary judgment on _____, 2008.

14. Applications by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

15. Pretrial Conference. The Pretrial Conference previously set for May 6, 2008 is hereby vacated and the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel on _____, 200_. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the joint proposed pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies this Scheduling Order at least five business days prior to the Final Pretrial Conference.

16. Motions in Limine. Motions *in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to five *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in limine* request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of five pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of five pages of argument. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an *in limine* request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single five (5) page submission, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

17. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51 the parties should file proposed voir dire, instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be

accompanied by a computer diskette (in WordPerfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special verdict forms, and jury interrogatories.

18. Trial. The trial of this matter previously scheduled for June 2, 2008 through June 10, 2008 is hereby vacated and this matter will be scheduled for a 7 day jury trial beginning on _____, 200_. For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated a total of 20 hours to present their case.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE